

# Holonomic rank of $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric differential-difference equations

Katsuyoshi Ohara\* and Nobuki Takayama†

June 18, 2007

## Abstract

We introduce  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric differential-difference equation  $\mathbf{H}_A$  and prove that its holonomic rank is equal to the normalized volume of  $\mathcal{A}$  with giving a set of convergent series solutions.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we introduce  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric differential-difference equation  $\mathbf{H}_A$  and study its series solutions and holonomic rank.

Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{i=1,\dots,d,j=1,\dots,n}$  be a  $d \times n$ -matrix whose elements are integers. We suppose that the set of the column vectors of  $A$  spans  $\mathbf{Z}^d$  and there is no zero column vector. Let  $a_i$  be the  $i$ -th column vector of the matrix  $A$  and  $F(\beta, x)$  the integral

$$F(\beta, x) = \int_C \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i t^{a_i}\right) t^{-\beta-1} dt, \quad t = (t_1, \dots, t_d), \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d).$$

The integral  $F(\beta, x)$  satisfies the  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric differential system associated to  $A$  and  $\beta$  “formally”. We use the word “formally” because, there is no general and rigorous description about the cycle  $C$  ([11, p.222]).

We will regard the parameters  $\beta$  as variables. Then, the function  $F(s, x)$  on the  $(s, x)$  space satisfies differential-difference equations “formally”, which will be our  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric differential-difference system.

Rank theories of  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric differential system have been developed since Gel'fand, Zelevinsky and Kapranov [4]. In the end of 1980's,

---

\*Department of Computational Science, Kanazawa University

†Department of Mathematics, Kobe University

under the condition that the points lie on a same hyperplane, they proved that the rank of  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric differential system  $H_A(\beta)$  agrees with the normalized volume of  $A$  for any parameter  $\beta \in \mathbf{C}^d$  if the toric ideal  $I_A$  has the Cohen-Macaulay property. After their result had been gotten, many people have studied on conditions such that the rank equals the normalized volume. In particular, Matusevich, Miller and Walther proved that  $I_A$  has the Cohen-Macaulay property if the rank of  $H_A(\beta)$  agrees with the normalized volume of  $A$  for any  $\beta \in \mathbf{C}^d$  ([5]).

In this paper, we will introduce  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric differential-difference system, which can be regarded as a generalization of difference equation for the  $\Gamma$ -function, the Beta function, and the Gauss hypergeometric difference equations. As the first step on this differential-difference system, we will prove our main Theorem 3 utilizing theorems on  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric differential equations, construction of convergent series solutions with a homogenization technique, uniform convergence of series solutions, and Mutsumi Saito's results for contiguity relations [9], [10], [11, Chapter 4]. The existence theorem 2 on convergent series fundamental set of solutions for  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric differential equation for generic  $\beta$  is the second main theorem of our paper. Finally, we note that, for studying our  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric differential-difference system, we wrote a program "yang" ([6], [8]) on a computer algebra system Risa/Asir and did several experiments on computers to conjecture and prove our theorems.

## 2 Holonomic rank

Let  $\mathbf{D}$  be the ring of differential-difference operators

$$\mathbf{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n, s_1, \dots, s_d, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n, S_1, \dots, S_d, S_1^{-1}, \dots, S_d^{-1} \rangle$$

where the following (non-commutative) product rules are assumed

$$S_i s_i = (s_i + 1)S_i, \quad S_i^{-1} s_i = (s_i - 1)S_i^{-1}, \quad \partial_i x_i = x_i \partial_i + 1$$

and the other types of the product of two generators commute.

Holonomic rank of a system of differential-difference equations will be defined by using the following ring of differential-difference operators with rational function coefficients

$$\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{C}(s_1, \dots, s_d, x_1, \dots, x_n)\langle S_1, \dots, S_d, S_1^{-1}, \dots, S_d^{-1}, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \rangle$$

It is a  $\mathbf{C}$ -algebra generated by rational functions in  $s_1, \dots, s_d, x_1, \dots, x_n$  and differential operators  $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$  and difference operators  $S_1, \dots, S_d, S_1^{-1}, \dots, S_d^{-1}$ .

The commutation relations are defined by  $\partial_i c(s, x) = c(s, x) \partial_i + \frac{\partial c}{\partial x_i}$ ,  $S_i c(s, x) = c(s_1, \dots, s_i + 1, \dots, s_d, x) S_i$ ,  $S_i^{-1} c(s, x) = c(s_1, \dots, s_i - 1, \dots, s_d, x) S_i^{-1}$ .

Let  $I$  be a left ideal in  $\mathbf{D}$ . The holonomic rank of  $I$  is the number

$$\text{rank}(I) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}(s, x)} \mathbf{U}/(\mathbf{U}I).$$

In case of the ring of differential operators ( $d = 0$ ), the definition of the holonomic rank agrees with the standard definition of holonomic rank in the ring of differential operators.

For a given left ideal  $I$ , the holonomic rank can be evaluated by a Gröbner basis computation in  $\mathbf{U}$ .

### 3 $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric differential-difference equations

Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{i=1, \dots, d, j=1, \dots, n}$  be an integer  $d \times n$  matrix of rank  $d$ . We assume that the column vectors  $\{a_i\}$  of  $A$  generates  $\mathbf{Z}^d$  and there is no zero vector. The  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric differential-difference system  $\mathbf{H}_A$  is the following system of differential-difference equations

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \partial_j - s_i \right) \bullet f &= 0 & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, d & \text{ and} \\ \left( \partial_j - \prod_{i=1}^d S_i^{-a_{ij}} \right) \bullet f &= 0 & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\mathbf{H}_A$  contains the toric ideal  $I_A$ . (use [12, Algorithm 4.5] to prove it.)

**Definition 1.** Define the unit volume in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  as the volume of the unit simplex  $\{0, e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ . For a given set of points  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ , the normalized volume  $\text{vol}(\mathcal{A})$  is the volume of the convex hull of the origin and  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Theorem 1.**  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric differential-difference system  $\mathbf{H}_A$  has linearly independent  $\text{vol}(A)$  series solutions.

The proof of this theorem is divided into two parts. The matrix  $A$  is called homogeneous when it contains a row of the form  $(1, \dots, 1)$ . If  $A$  is homogeneous, then the associated toric ideal  $I_A$  is homogeneous ideal [12]. The first part is the case that  $A$  is homogeneous. The second part is the case that  $A$  is not homogeneous.

*Proof.* ( $A$  is homogeneous.) We will prove the theorem with the homogeneity assumption of  $A$ . In other words, we suppose that  $A$  is written as follows:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Gel'fand, Kapranov, Zelevinski gave a method to construct  $m = \text{vol}(A)$  linearly independent solutions of  $H_A(\beta)$  with the homogeneity condition of  $A$  ([4]). They suppose that  $\beta$  is fixed as a generic  $\mathbf{C}$ -vector. Let us denote their series solutions by  $f_1(\beta; x), \dots, f_m(\beta; x)$ . It is easy to see that the functions  $f_i(s; x)$  are solutions of the differential-difference equations  $\mathbf{H}_A$ . We can show, by carefully checking the estimates of their convergence proof, that there exists an open set in the  $(s, x)$  space such that  $f_i(s; x)$  is locally uniformly convergent with respect to  $s$  and  $x$ . Let us sketch their proof to see that their series converge as solutions of  $\mathbf{H}_A$ . The discussion is given in [4], but we need to rediscuss it in a suitable form to apply it to the case of inhomogeneous  $A$ .

Let  $B$  be a matrix of which the set of column vectors is a basis of  $\text{Ker}(A : \mathbf{Q}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^d)$  and is normalized as follows:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & * \end{pmatrix} \in M(n, n-d, \mathbf{Q}).$$

We denote by  $b^{(i)}$  the  $i$ -th column vector of  $B$  and by  $b_{ij}$  the  $j$ -th element of  $b^{(i)}$ . Then the homogeneity of  $A$  implies

$$\sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} = 0.$$

Let us fix a regular triangulation  $\Delta$  of  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  following the construction by Gel'fand, Kapranov, Zelevinsky. Take a  $d$ -simplex  $\tau$  in the triangulation  $\Delta$ . If  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}^n$  is admissible for a  $d$ -simplex  $\tau$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  (*admissible*  $\Leftrightarrow$  for all  $j \notin \tau$ ,  $\lambda_j \in \mathbf{Z}$ ), and  $A\lambda = s$  holds, then  $\mathbf{H}_A$  has a formal series solution

$$\phi_\tau(\lambda; x) = \sum_{l \in L} \frac{x^{\lambda+l}}{\Gamma(\lambda+l+1)},$$

where  $L = \text{Ker}(A : \mathbf{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^d)$  and  $\Gamma(\lambda+l+1) = \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\lambda_i + l_i + 1)$  and when a factor of the denominator of a term in the sum, we regard the term

is zero. Put  $\#\tau = n'$ . Note that there exists an open set  $U$  in the  $s$  space such that  $\lambda_i, i \in \tau$  lie in a compact set in  $\mathbf{C}^{n'} \setminus \mathbf{Z}^{n'}$ . Moreover, this open set  $U$  can be taken as a common open set for all  $d$ -simplices in the triangulation  $\Delta$  and the associated admissible  $\lambda$ 's when the integral values  $\lambda_j$  ( $j \notin \tau$ ) are fixed for all  $\tau \in \Delta$ .

Put  $L' = \{(k_1, \dots, k_{n-d}) \in \mathbf{Z}^{n-d} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k_i b^{(i)} \in \mathbf{Z}^n\}$ . Then,  $L'$  is  $\mathbf{Z}$ -submodule of  $\mathbf{Z}^{n-d}$  and  $L = \{\sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k_i b^{(i)} \mid k \in L'\}$ . In other words,  $L$  can be parametrized with  $L'$ . Without loss of the generality, we may suppose that  $\tau = \{n-d+1, \dots, n\}$ . Then, we have

$$\phi_\tau(\lambda; x) = \sum_{l \in L} \frac{x^{\lambda+l}}{\Gamma(\lambda+l+1)} = \sum_{k \in L'} \frac{x^{\lambda+\sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k_i b^{(i)}}}{\Gamma(\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k_i b^{(i)} + 1)}$$

Note that the first  $n-d$  rows of  $B$  are normalized. Then, we have

$$\lambda_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k_i b_{ij} + 1 = \lambda_j + k_j + 1 \in \mathbf{Z} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n-d)$$

Since  $1/\Gamma(0) = 1/\Gamma(-1) = 1/\Gamma(-2) = \dots = 0$ , the sum can be written as

$$\phi_\tau(\lambda; x) = \sum_{\substack{k \in L' \\ \lambda_j + k_j + 1 \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0} \\ (j=1, \dots, n-d)}} \frac{x^{\lambda+\sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k_i b^{(i)}}}{\Gamma(\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k_i b^{(i)} + 1)}$$

Moreover, when we put

$$\begin{aligned} k'_j &= \lambda_j + k_j, & (j = 1, \dots, n-d) \\ \lambda' &= \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} \lambda_i b^{(i)} \\ \hat{\lambda} &= (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-d}) \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k_i b^{(i)} = - \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} \lambda_i b^{(i)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)}$$

Hence, the sum  $\phi_\tau(\lambda; x)$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_\tau(\lambda; x) &= \sum_{\substack{k' \in L' + \hat{\lambda} \\ k' \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n-d}}} \frac{x^{\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} \lambda_i b^{(i)}} \cdot x^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)}}}{\Gamma(\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} \lambda_i b^{(i)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)} + 1)} \\ &= x^{\lambda'} \sum_{\substack{k' \in L' + \hat{\lambda} \\ k' \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n-d}}} \frac{(x^{b^{(1)}})^{k'_1} \cdots (x^{b^{(n-d)}})^{k'_{n-d}}}{\Gamma(\lambda' + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)} + 1)}\end{aligned}$$

Note that our series with the coefficients in terms of Gamma functions agree with those in [11, §3.4], which do not contain Gamma functions, by multiplying suitable constants. Hence we will apply some results on series solutions in [11] to our discussions in the sequel.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $(k_i) \in (\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^m$  and  $(b_{ij}) \in M(m, n, \mathbf{Q})$ . Suppose that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m k_i b_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} = 0$$

and parameters  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  belongs to a compact set  $K$ . Then there exists a positive number  $r$ , which is independent of  $\lambda$ , such that the power series

$$\sum_{\substack{k' \in L' + \hat{\lambda} \\ k' \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n-d}}} \frac{(x^{b^{(1)}})^{k'_1} \cdots (x^{b^{(n-d)}})^{k'_{n-d}}}{\Gamma(\lambda' + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)} + 1)}$$

is convergent in  $|x^{b^{(1)}}|, \dots, |x^{b^{(n-d)}}| < r$ .

The proof of this lemma can be done by elementary estimates of  $\Gamma$  functions. See [7, pp.18–21] if readers are interested in the details. Since

$$k' \in L' + \hat{\lambda} \iff \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)} \in \mathbf{Z}^n$$

it follows from Lemma 1 that there exists a positive constant  $r$  such that the series converge in

$$|x^{b^{(1)}}|, \dots, |x^{b^{(n-d)}}| < r \quad (3.1)$$

for any  $s$  in the open set  $U$ . We may suppose  $r < 1$ . Take the log of (3.1). Then we have

$$b^{(k)} \cdot (\log |x_1|, \dots, \log |x_n|) < \log |r| < 0 \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, n-d\} \quad (3.2)$$

Following [4], for the simplex  $\tau$  and  $r$ , we define the set  $C(A, \tau, r)$  as follows.

$$C(A, \tau, r) = \left\{ \psi \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid \exists \varphi \in \mathbf{R}^d, \psi_i - (\varphi, a_i) \begin{cases} > -\log |r|, & i \notin \tau, \\ = 0, & i \in \tau, \end{cases} \right\}$$

The condition (3.2) and  $(-\log |x_1|, \dots, -\log |x_n|) \in C(A, \tau, r)$  is equivalent (see [3, section 4] as to the proof).

Since  $\Delta$  is a regular triangulation of  $A$ ,  $\bigcap_{\tau \in \Delta} C(A, \tau, r)$  is an open set. Therefore, when  $s$  lies in the open set  $U$  and  $-\log |x|$  lies in the above open set, the  $\text{vol}(A)$  linearly independent solutions converge.  $\square$

Let us proceed on the proof for the inhomogeneous case. We suppose that  $A$  is not homogeneous and has only non-zero column vectors. We define the homogenized matrix as

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{d1} & \cdots & a_{dn} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M(d+1, n+1, \mathbf{Z}).$$

For  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbf{C}^d$  and a generic complex number  $s_0$ , we put  $\tilde{s} = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_d)$ . We suppose that  $\tau = \{n-d+1, \dots, d, d+1\}$  is a  $(d+1)$ -simplex. Let us take an admissible  $\lambda$  for  $\tau$  such that  $\tilde{A}\tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{s}$  and  $\tilde{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  as in the proof of the homogeneous case. Put  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ . Consider the solution of the hypergeometric system for  $\tilde{A}$

$$\tilde{\phi}_\tau(\tilde{\lambda}; \tilde{x}) = \sum_{k' \in L' \cap S} \frac{\tilde{x}^{\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)}}}{\Gamma(\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)} + 1)}$$

and the series

$$\phi_\tau(\lambda; x) = \sum_{k' \in L' \cap S} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b_{ij}}}{\prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(\lambda_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b_{ij} + 1)}$$

( $\tilde{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$ ,  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ). Here, the set  $S$  is a subset of  $L'$  such that an integer in  $\mathbf{Z}_{\leq 0}$  does not appear in the arguments of the Gamma functions in the denominator. We note that  $L'$  for  $\tilde{A}$  and  $L'$  for  $A$  agree, which can be proved as follows. Let  $(k_1, \dots, k_{n+1})$  be in the kernel of  $\tilde{A}$  in  $\mathbf{Q}^{n+1}$ . Since  $\tilde{A}$  contains the row of the form  $(1, \dots, 1)$ , then  $(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n$  implies that  $k_{n+1}$  is an integer. The conclusion follows from the definition of  $L'$ .

**Definition 2.** We call  $\phi_\tau(\lambda; x)$  the *dehomogenization* of  $\tilde{\phi}_\tau(\tilde{\lambda}; \tilde{x})$ .

Intuitively speaking, the dehomogenization is defined by “forgetting” the last variable  $x_{n+1}$  associated  $\Gamma$  factors. See Example 1.

Formal series solutions for the hypergeometric system for inhomogeneous  $A$  do not converge in general. However, we can construct  $\text{vol}(A)$  convergent series solutions as the dehomogenization of a set of series solutions for  $\tilde{A}$  hypergeometric system associated to a regular triangulation on  $\tilde{A}$  induced by a “nice” weight vector  $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)$ , which we will define. Put  $\tilde{w} = (1, \dots, 1, 0) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ . Since the Gröbner fan for the toric variety  $I_{\tilde{A}}$  is a polyhedral fan, the following fact holds.

**Lemma 2.** *For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\tilde{v} \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  such that  $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon) := \tilde{w} + \varepsilon\tilde{v}$  lies in the interior of a maximal dimensional Gröbner cone of  $I_{\tilde{A}}$ . We may also suppose  $\tilde{v}_{n+1} = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let us prove the lemma. The first part is a consequence of an elementary property of the fan. When  $I$  is a homogeneous ideal in the ring of polynomials of  $n + 1$  variables, we have

$$\text{in}_{\tilde{u}}(I) = \text{in}_{\tilde{u}+t(1, \dots, 1)}(I) \quad (3.3)$$

for any  $t$  and any weight vector  $\tilde{u}$ . In other words,  $\tilde{u}$  and  $\tilde{u} + t(1, \dots, 1)$  lie in the interior of the same Gröbner cone.

When the weight vector  $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon) = \tilde{w} + \varepsilon\tilde{v}$  lies in the interior of the Gröbner cone, we define a new  $\tilde{v}$  by  $\tilde{v} - \tilde{v}_{n+1}(1, \dots, 1)$ . Since the initial ideal does not change with this change of weight, we may assume that  $\tilde{v}_{n+1} = 0$  for the new  $\tilde{v}$ .  $\square$

Since the Gröbner fan is a refinement of the secondary fan and hence  $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)$  is an interior point of a maximal dimensional secondary cone, it induces a regular triangulation ([12] p.71, Proposition 8.15). We denote by  $\Delta$  the regular triangulation on  $\tilde{A}$  induced by  $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)$ . For a  $d$ -simplex  $\tau \in \Delta$ , we define  $b^{(i)}$  as in the proof of the homogeneous case. Since the weight for  $\tilde{a}_{n+1}$  is the lowest,  $n + 1 \in \tau$  holds. We can change indices of  $\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_n$  so that  $\tau = \{n - d + 1, \dots, n + 1\}$  without loss of generality.

Let us prove that the dehomogenized series  $\phi_\tau(\lambda; x)$  converge. It follows from a characterization of the support of the series [11, Theorem 3.4.2] that we have

$$\tilde{w}(\varepsilon) \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)} + \lambda \right) \geq \tilde{w}(\varepsilon) \cdot \lambda, \quad \forall k' \in L' \cap S.$$



Here,  $S$  is a set such that  $\mathbf{Z}_{\leq 0}$  does not appear in the denominator of the  $\Gamma$  factors. Take the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and we have

$$\tilde{w} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)} \geq 0, \quad \forall k' \in L' \cap S.$$

From Lemma 2,  $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon) \in C(\tilde{A}, \tau)$  holds and then

$$\tilde{w}(\varepsilon) \cdot b^{(i)} \geq 0.$$

Similarly, by taking the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\tilde{w} \cdot b^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} \geq 0.$$

Therefore, we have  $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_{ij} = 0$ , the inequality  $b_{i,n+1} \leq 0$  holds for all  $i$ .

Since  $k'_1 \geq -\lambda_1, \dots, k'_{n-d} \geq -\lambda_{n-d}$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b_{i,n+1} \leq - \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} \lambda_i b_{i,n+1}$$

Note that the right hand side is a non-negative number. Suppose that  $\lambda_{n+1}$  is negative. In terms of the Pochhammer symbol we have  $\Gamma(\lambda_{n+1} - m) = \Gamma(\lambda_{n+1})(-\lambda_{n+1} + 1; m)^{-1}(-1)^m$ , then we can estimate the  $(n+1)$ -th gamma factors as

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Gamma(\lambda_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b_{i,n+1} + 1) \right| &= |\Gamma(\lambda_{n+1} + 1)| \cdot \left| \left( -\lambda_{n+1}; - \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b_{i,n+1} \right) \right|^{-1} \\ &\leq c' |\Gamma(\lambda_{n+1} + 1)| \cdot \left| \left( -\lambda_{n+1}; - \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} \lambda_i b_{i,n+1} \right) \right|^{-1} \\ &= c \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Here,  $c'$  and  $c$  are suitable constants.

When  $\lambda_{n+1} \geq 0$ , there exists only finite set of values such that  $\lambda_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b_{i,n+1} \geq 0$ . Then, we can show the inequality (3.4) in an analogous way.

Now, by (3.4), we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(\lambda_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b_{ij} + 1)} \right| \leq c \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n-d} k'_i b^{(i)} + 1)} \right|$$

We note that the right hand side is the coefficient of the series solution for the homogeneous system for  $\tilde{A}$  and the series converge for  $(-\log|x_1|, \dots, -\log|x_{n+1}|) \in C(\tilde{A}, \tau, r)$  ( $r < 1$ ) uniformly with respect to  $\tilde{s}$  in an open set.

Put  $x_{n+1} = 1$ . Since  $-\log|x_{n+1}| = 0$  and  $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon) \in \{y \mid y_{n+1} = 0\}$ , we can see that

$$\bigcap_{\tau \in \Delta} C(\tilde{A}, \tau, r) \cap \{y \mid y_{n+1} = 0\}$$

is a non-empty open set of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Therefore the dehomogenized series  $\phi_\tau(\lambda; x)$  converge in an open set in the  $(s, x)$  space.

**Theorem 2.** *The dehomogenized series  $\phi_\tau(\lambda; x)$  satisfies the hypergeometric differential-difference system  $\mathbf{H}_A$  and they are linearly independent convergent solutions of  $\mathbf{H}_A$  when  $\lambda$  runs over admissible exponents associated to the initial system induced by the weight vector  $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $A\lambda = s$ , it is easy to show that they are formal solutions of the differential-difference system  $\mathbf{H}_A$ . We will prove that we can construct  $m$  linearly independent solutions. We note that the weight vector  $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon) = (1, \dots, 1, 0) + \varepsilon v \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  is in the neighborhood of  $(1, \dots, 1, 0) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  and in the interior of a maximal dimensional Gröbner cone of  $I_{\tilde{A}}$ .

It follows from [11, p.119] that the minimal generating set of  $\text{in}_{(1, \dots, 1, 0)} I_{\tilde{A}}$  does not contain  $\partial_{n+1}$ . Since

$$\text{in}_{\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)} I_{\tilde{A}} = \text{in}_v(\text{in}_{(1, \dots, 1, 0)} I_{\tilde{A}})$$

does not contain  $\partial_{n+1}$ , we have

$$M = \langle \text{in}_{\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)} I_{\tilde{A}} \rangle = \langle \text{in}_{w(\varepsilon)} I_A \rangle \quad \text{in } \mathbf{C}[\partial_1, \dots, \partial_{n+1}].$$

Here, we define  $w(\varepsilon)$  with  $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon) = (w(\varepsilon), 0)$ . Put  $\tilde{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n+1})$ . From [11, Theorem 3.1.3], for generic  $\tilde{\beta} = (\beta_0, \beta)$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbf{C}^d$ , the initial ideal  $\text{in}_{(-\tilde{w}(\varepsilon), \tilde{w}(\varepsilon))} H_{\tilde{A}}(\tilde{\beta})$  is generated by  $\text{in}_{\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)}(I_{\tilde{A}})$  and  $\tilde{A}\tilde{\theta} - \tilde{\beta}$ . Let us denote by  $T(M)$  the standard pairs of  $M$ . From [11, Theorem 3.2.10], the initial ideal

$$\langle \text{in}_{\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)} I_{\tilde{A}}, \tilde{A}\tilde{\theta} - \tilde{\beta} \rangle \tag{3.5}$$

has  $\#T(M) = \text{vol}(\tilde{A})$  linearly independent solutions of the form

$$\{\tilde{x}^{\tilde{\lambda}} \mid (\partial^a, T) \in T(M)\}$$

Here,  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is defined by  $\tilde{\lambda}_i = a_i \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $\forall i \notin T$  and  $\tilde{A}\tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{\beta}$ . Note that  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is admissible for the  $d$ -simplex  $T$ .

Since we have

$$\langle \text{in}_{\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)} I_{\tilde{A}} \rangle = \langle \text{in}_{w(\varepsilon)} I_A \rangle$$

the difference between

$$\langle \text{in}_{w(\varepsilon)} I_A, A\theta - \beta \rangle \tag{3.6}$$

and (3.5) is only

$$\theta_1 + \cdots + \theta_n + \theta_{n+1} - \beta_0$$

and other equations do not contain  $x_{n+1}, \partial_{n+1}$ .

For any  $(\partial^a, T) \in T(M)$ , we have  $n+1 \in T$ . Therefore, the two solution spaces (3.6) and (3.5) are isomorphic under the correspondence

$$x^\lambda \mapsto \tilde{x}^{\tilde{\lambda}} \tag{3.7}$$

Here, we put  $\tilde{\lambda} = (\lambda, \lambda_{n+1})$  and  $\lambda_{n+1}$  is defined by

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i + \lambda_{n+1} - \beta_0 = 0$$

It follows from [11, Theorem 2.3.11 and Theorem 3.2.10] that

$$\{\tilde{x}^{\tilde{\lambda}} \mid (\partial^a, T) \in T(M)\}$$

are  $\mathbf{C}$ -linearly independent. Therefore, from the correspondence (3.7), the functions

$$\{x^\lambda \mid (\partial^a, T) \in T(M)\},$$

of which cardinality is  $\text{vol}(A)$ , are  $\mathbf{C}$ -linearly independent. Hence, series solutions with the initial terms

$$\left\{ \frac{x^\lambda}{\Gamma(\lambda+1)} \mid (\partial^a, T) \in T(M) \right\}$$

are  $\mathbf{C}$  linearly independent, which implies the linear independence of series solutions with these starting terms [11]. We have completed the proof of the theorem and also that of Theorem 1.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *The holonomic rank of  $\mathbf{H}_A$  is equal to the normalized volume of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* First we will prove  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{H}_A) \leq \text{vol}(A)$ . It follows from the Adolphson's theorem ([1]) that the holonomic rank of  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric system  $H_A(\beta)$  is equal to the normalized volume of  $A$  for generic parameters  $\beta$ . It implies that the standard monomials for a Gröbner basis of the  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric system  $H_A(s)$  in  $\mathbf{C}(s, x)\langle \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \rangle$  consists of  $\text{vol}(A)$  elements. We note that elements in the Gröbner basis can be regarded as an element in the ring of differential-difference operators with rational function coefficients  $\mathbf{U}$ . We denote by  $\partial_j$  and  $r_j$  the creation and annihilation operators. The existence of them are proved in [10, Chapter 4]. Then, we have

$$H_j = \partial_j - \prod_{i=1}^n S_i^{-a_{ij}} \in \mathbf{H}_A$$

and

$$B_j = r_j - \prod_{i=1}^n S_i^{a_{ij}} \in \mathbf{H}_A, \quad r_j \in \mathbf{C}(s, x)\langle \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \rangle.$$

Since the column vectors of  $A$  generate the lattice  $\mathbf{Z}^d$ , we obtain from  $B_j$ 's and  $H_j$ 's elements of the form  $S_i - p(s, x, \partial)$ ,  $S_i^{-1} - q(s, x, \partial) \in \mathbf{H}_A$ . It implies the number of standard monomials of a Gröbner basis of  $\mathbf{H}_A$  with respect to a block order such that  $S_1, \dots, S_n > S_1^{-1}, \dots, S_n^{-1} > \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$  is less than or equal to  $\text{vol}(A)$ .

Second, we will prove  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{H}_A) \geq \text{vol}(A)$ . We suppose that  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{H}_A) < \text{vol}(A)$  and will induce a contradiction. For the block order  $S_1, \dots, S_d > S_1^{-1}, \dots, S_d^{-1} > \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$ , we can show that the standard monomials  $T$  of a Gröbner basis of  $\mathbf{H}_A$  in  $\mathbf{U}$  contains only differential terms and  $\#T < \text{vol}(A)$  by the assumption. Let  $T'$  be the standard monomials of Gröbner basis  $G(s)$  of  $H_A(s)$  in the ring of differential operators with rational function coefficients  $D(s)$ . Note that  $\#T' = \text{vol}(A)$ . Then  $T$  is a proper subset of the set  $T'$ . For  $r \in T' \setminus T$ , it follows that

$$\partial^r \equiv \sum_{\alpha \in T} c_\alpha(x, s) \partial^\alpha \quad \text{mod } \mathbf{H}_A.$$

From Theorem 2, we have convergent series solutions  $f_1(s, x), \dots, f_m(s, x)$  of  $\mathbf{H}_A$ , where  $m = \text{vol}(A)$ . So,

$$\partial^r \bullet f_i = \sum_{\alpha \in T} c_\alpha(x, s) \partial^\alpha \bullet f_i \quad (3.8)$$

Since  $f_1(s, x), \dots, f_m(s, x)$  are linearly independent, the Wronskian standing

for  $T'$

$$W(T'; f)(x, s) = \begin{vmatrix} f_1(s; x) & \cdots & f_m(\beta; x) \\ \partial^\delta f_1(s; x) & \cdots & \partial^\delta f_m(\beta; x) \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \end{vmatrix} \quad (\partial^\delta \in T' \setminus \{1\})$$

is non-zero for generic number  $s$ . However  $r \in T'$  and (3.8) induce the Wronskian  $W(T'; f)(s, x)$  is equal to zero.

Finally, by  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{H}_A) \leq \text{vol}(A)$  and  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{H}_A) \geq \text{vol}(A)$ , the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Example 1.** Put  $A = (1 \ 2 \ 3)$  and  $\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . This is *Airy type integral* [11, p.223].

The matrix  $\tilde{A}$  is homogeneous. For  $\tilde{w}(\varepsilon) = (1, 1, 1, 0) + \frac{1}{100}(1, 0, 0, 0)$ , the initial ideal  $\text{in}_{\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)}(I_{\tilde{A}})$  is generated by  $\partial_1^2, \partial_1 \partial_2, \partial_1 \partial_3, \partial_2^3$ . Note that the initial ideal does not contain  $\partial_4$ . We solve the initial system  $(\tilde{A}\tilde{\theta} - \tilde{s}) \bullet g = 0, (\text{in}_{\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)}(I_{\tilde{A}})) \bullet g = 0$ . The standard pairs  $(\partial^a, T)$  for  $\text{in}_{\tilde{w}(\varepsilon)}(I_{\tilde{A}})$  are  $(\partial_1^0 \partial_2^1, \{3, 4\}), (\partial_1^0 \partial_2^0, \{3, 4\}), (\partial_1^0 \partial_2^2, \{3, 4\})$ . Hence, the solutions for the initial system are  $x_1^0 x_2^1 x_3^{(s_1-2)/3} x_4^{s_0-1-(s_1-2)/3}, x_1^0 x_2^0 x_3^{s_1/3} x_4^{a_0-s_1/3}, x_1^0 x_2^2 x_3^{(s_1-4)/3} x_4^{s_0-2-(s_1-4)/3}$  ([11]). Therefore, the  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric differential-difference system  $\mathbf{H}_{\tilde{A}}$

has the following series solutions.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\phi}_1(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{x}) &= x_4^{s_0} \left( \frac{x_2}{x_4} \right) \left( \frac{x_3}{x_4} \right)^{\frac{s_1-2}{3}} \\
&\cdot \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq -1 \\ (k_1, k_2) \in L'}} \frac{\left( x_1 x_3^{-1/3} x_4^{-2/3} \right)^{k_1} \left( x_2 x_3^{-2/3} x_4^{-1/3} \right)^{k_2}}{k_1!(k_2+1)! \Gamma\left(\frac{s_1-k_1-2k_2+1}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3s_0-s_1-2k_1-k_2+2}{3}\right)} \\
\tilde{\phi}_2(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{x}) &= x_4^{s_0} \left( \frac{x_3}{x_4} \right)^{\frac{s_1}{3}} \\
&\cdot \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq 0 \\ (k_1, k_2) \in L'}} \frac{\left( x_1 x_3^{-1/3} x_4^{-2/3} \right)^{k_1} \left( x_2 x_3^{-2/3} x_4^{-1/3} \right)^{k_2}}{k_1! k_2! \Gamma\left(\frac{s_1-k_1-2k_2+3}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3s_0-s_1-2k_1-k_2+3}{3}\right)} \\
\tilde{\phi}_3(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{x}) &= x_4^{s_0} \left( \frac{x_2}{x_4} \right)^2 \left( \frac{x_3}{x_4} \right)^{\frac{s_1-4}{3}} \\
&\cdot \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq -2 \\ (k_1, k_2) \in L'}} \frac{\left( x_1 x_3^{-1/3} x_4^{-2/3} \right)^{k_1} \left( x_2 x_3^{-2/3} x_4^{-1/3} \right)^{k_2}}{k_1!(k_2+2)! \Gamma\left(\frac{s_1-k_1-2k_2-1}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3s_0-s_1-2k_1-k_2+1}{3}\right)}
\end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$L' = \{(k_1, k_2) \mid k_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, k_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\} \cup \{(k_1, k_2) \mid k_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, k_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}\}.$$

The matrix  $A$  is not homogeneous and by dehomogenizing the series solution for  $\tilde{A}$  we obtain the following series solutions for the  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric differential-difference system  $\mathbf{H}_A$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_1(\lambda, x) &= x_2 x_3^{\frac{s_1-2}{3}} \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq -1 \\ (k_1, k_2) \in L'}} \frac{\left( x_1 x_3^{-1/3} \right)^{k_1} \left( x_2 x_3^{-2/3} \right)^{k_2}}{k_1!(k_2+1)! \Gamma\left(\frac{s_1-k_1-2k_2+1}{3}\right)} \\
\phi_2(\lambda, x) &= x_3^{\frac{s_1}{3}} \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq 0 \\ (k_1, k_2) \in L'}} \frac{\left( x_1 x_3^{-1/3} \right)^{k_1} \left( x_2 x_3^{-2/3} \right)^{k_2}}{k_1! k_2! \Gamma\left(\frac{s_1-k_1-2k_2+3}{3}\right)} \\
\phi_3(\lambda, x) &= x_2^2 x_3^{\frac{s_1-4}{3}} \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq -2 \\ (k_1, k_2) \in L'}} \frac{\left( x_1 x_3^{-1/3} \right)^{k_1} \left( x_2 x_3^{-2/3} \right)^{k_2}}{k_1!(k_2+2)! \Gamma\left(\frac{s_1-k_1-2k_2-1}{3}\right)}
\end{aligned}$$

Here  $\phi_k(x)$  is the dehomogenization of  $\tilde{\phi}_k(x)$ .

Finally, let us present a difference Pfaffian system for  $A$ . It can be derived by using Gröbner bases of  $\mathbf{H}_A$  and has the following form:

$$S_1 \begin{pmatrix} f \\ x_3 \partial_3 \bullet f \\ S_1 \bullet f \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{s_1 x_1}{6x_2} & \frac{3x_1 x_3 - 4x_2^2}{6x_2 x_3} & \frac{2(s_1 - 1)x_2 + x_1^2}{6x_2} \\ \frac{s_1}{2x_2} & -\frac{3}{2x_2} & -\frac{x_1}{2x_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ x_3 \partial_3 \bullet f \\ S_1 \bullet f \end{pmatrix}.$$

## References

- [1] A.Adolphson, Hypergeometric functions and rings generated monomials. *Duke Mathematical Journal* **73** (1994), 269–290.
- [2] K.Aomoto and M.Kita, *Hypergeometric Functions*, Springer-Verlag, Tokyo, 1994. (in Japanese)
- [3] L.J.Billera, P.Filliman, B.Sturmfels, Constructions and complexity of secondary polytopes, *Advances in Mathematics* **83** (1990), 155–179.
- [4] I.M.Gel’fand, A.V.Zelevinsky, M.M.Kapranov, Hypergeometric functions and toral manifolds. *Functional Analysis and its Applications* **23** (1989), 94–106.
- [5] L. F. Matusevich, E. Miller, and U. Walther, Homological Methods for Hypergeometric Families, *Journal of American Mathematical Society* **18** (2005), 919–941. [math.AG/0406383](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0406383)
- [6] K.Ohara, Risa/Asir Package for Non-commutative Gröbner Bases and its Applications, *Sûriken Kôkyûroku* **1395** (2004), 45 – 49.
- [7] K.Ohara, N.Takayama, Holonomic rank of  $\mathcal{A}$ -hypergeometric differential-difference equations (in Japanese), in “Computational Analysis of Hypergeometric Differential Equations” Research report of Grant-in-Aid for scientific research (KAKENHI) **15340045** 28–22, 2007.  
<http://www.math.kobe-u.ac.jp/HOME/taka/2007/kaken-hg-all.pdf>
- [8] The OpenXM project, a project to integrate mathematical software systems, 1998-2007, <http://www.OpenXM.org/>
- [9] M.Saito, Parameter shift in normal generalized hypergeometric systems. *Tohoku Mathematical Journal* **44** (1992), 523–534.

- [10] M.Saito, B.Sturmfels, N.Takayama, N., Hypergeometric polynomials and integer programming. *Compositio Mathematica*, **155** (1999), 185–204.
- [11] M.Saito, B.Sturmfels, N.Takayama, *Gröbner Deformations of Hypergeometric Differential Equations*, Springer, 2000.
- [12] B.Sturmfels, *Gröbner Bases and Convex Polytopes*, University Lecture Series Volume 8, American Mathematical Society, 1996